435.36 04/14/99 Rev. 03

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION

Pa	rt A - To Be Completed By Observer		
1.	Person Initiating Report: Jacob Harris	Phone: 526-1877	
	Contractor WAG Manager: Douglas Burns	Phone: 526-4324	
2.	Site Title: 015, Navy Debris in Canal Between TRA and NRF		
3.	Describe the conditions that indicate a possible inactive or unreported waste site. Include location and description of suspicious condition, amount or extent of condition and date observed. A location map and/or diagram identifying the site against controlled survey points or global positioning system descriptors shall be included to help with the site visit. Include any known common names or location descriptors for the waste site.		
	Scattered debris is littered along approximately .25 miles of a large canal starting at the intersection of T3 and T14 and proceedin north. During the July 1999 site visit, the surface debris observed included triangular metal shapes, round metal shapes, and rubber rings. Debris was determined to be the remains of Navy propellant cans by Hance Clayton, Er Esh&Qa. The GPS coordinates for this site are E296560.781 by N713213.813. The reference number for this site is 015 and can be found on the summary map as provided.		
Pa	rt B - To Be Completed By Contractor WAG Manager		
4.	Recommendation:		
	This site meets the requirements for an inactive waste site, requirements for an inactive waste site, requirement of the site	sires investigation, and should be included in the INEEL commended to be included in the FFA/CO. Operable Unit: $10-08$	
	This site DOES NOT meet the requirements for an inactive waste site, DOES NOT require investigation and SHOULD NOT I included in the INEEL FFA/CO Action Plan.		
5.	Basis for the recommendation:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	The conditions that exist at this site indicate the potential for an inac or Disturbance of Suspected Inactive Waste Sites.	tive waste site according to Section 2 of MCP-3448 Reporting	
:			
	The basis for recommendation must include: (1) source description concern; and (4) descriptions of interfaces with other programs, as	(2) exposure pathways; (3) potential contaminants of applicable (e.g., D&D, Facility Operations, etc.)	
6.	Contractor WAG Manager Certification: I have examined the propo believe the information to be true, accurate, and complete. My reco	sed site and the information submitted in this document and mmendation is indicated in Section 4 above.	
Na	me: Dulos E Duros Signature:	Date: 5/25/00	

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION

Par	t C - To Be Completed By INEEL FFA	CO/CO WAG Managers	
7.	WAG Operable Unit:		
	DOE WAG Manager Concurrence:	Concur with recommendation	. Do not concur with the recommendation.
	Signature: Patti Kroupa	<i>'</i>	
	Date: 5/3/1/00	_	
	EPA		Do not consult with the recommendation
	EAP WAG Managers Concurrence:	Concur with recommendation	. Do not concur with the recommendation.
	Signature:		
	Date: 6/5/00	,	
	State of Idaho WAG Managers Concurrence	e: 💢 Concur with recommendation	. Do not concur with the recommendation.
	Signature: Yerry Winte		
	Date: 6-14-00		
	Explanation follows:		
Par	t D - To Be Completed By The INEEL	FFA/CO Responsible Program I	Managers (RPM's)
	TO DO COMPICION DY THE INCLE.	TACO RESPONSIBILATION	india de la companya
8.	FFA/CO RPM's Concurrence:	•	
For	DOE-ID		
Nan	ne: <u>Kathleen Hain</u> Signature: <u>Zattle</u>	en EHair Date: 7/12/00	_ 🖸 Concur
E	EDA Docios V		☐ Do not concur. Explanation follows:
	EPA Region X ne: Wayne Pierre Signature:	KLEW Date: 715 TOO	⊘ Concur
. 7631	Traying interest of the second	July 1 - U	Do not concur. Explanation follows:
	State of Idaho	mas _ 1. 22 na	¥ _
Nam	ne: Dean Nygard Signature:	Myp of Date: (4/23/00	Concur Do not concur. Explanation follows:



MISC-15, Navy Debris in Canal Between IRA and NRF



MISC-15, Navy Debris in Canal Between TRA and NRF